Message

From: Kraft, Andrew [Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov]

Sent: 10/31/2017 2:04:52 PM

To: Bateson, Thomas [Bateson.Thomas@epa.gov]
CC: Glenn, Barbara [Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov]

Subject: FW: REVISED Formaldehyde Assessment Overview

Attachments: formaldehyde_assessment overview_IOAAreview102617.docx

FYI

From: Bahadori, Tina

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2017 4:28 PM

 $\textbf{To:} \ \text{Kavlock, Robert} < \text{Kavlock.Robert} @ \text{epa.gov}; \ \text{Orme-Zavaleta, Jennifer} < \text{Orme-Zavaleta.Jennifer} @ \text{epa.gov}; \ \text{Rodan, and the particles of the parti$

Bruce < rodan.bruce@epa.gov>

Cc: Gwinn, Maureen <gwinn.maureen@epa.gov>; Thayer, Kris <thayer.kris@epa.gov>; Bussard, David

<Bussard.David@epa.gov>; Glenn, Barbara <Glenn.Barbara@epa.gov>; Kraft, Andrew <Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov>; Plotkin,

Viktoriya <Plotkin.Viktoriya@epa.gov>; Fleming, Megan <Fleming.Megan@epa.gov>; Sjogren, Mya

<Sjogren.Mya@epa.gov>; Christian, Megan <Christian.Megan@epa.gov>

Subject: REVISED Formaldehyde Assessment Overview

Dear Bob, Jennifer, and Bruce,

Attached for your consideration is the revised draft of the formaldehyde assessment overview document <150 pages. As Barbara indicates, this version reflects the revisions to the cancer dose-response section that were previously discussed (i.e., IUR based on NPC with discussions on ML).

The team also looks forward to their discussion (debate ©?) with Bruce on Wednesday....and then hopefully a path forward. Once the dust settles, we will organize a proper briefing for Jennifer and Richard.

With our deepest gratitude for your continued support on this monumental activity,

Tina

From: Glenn, Barbara

Sent: Thursday, October 26, 2017 3:50 PM

To: Bahadori, Tina <8ahadori.Tina@epa.gov>; Bussard, David <8ussard.David@epa.gov>

Cc: Ramasamy, Santhini < Ramasamy.Santhini@epa.gov; Kraft, Andrew < Kraft.Andrew@epa.gov; Thayer, Kris

<thayer.kris@epa.gov>

Subject: Formaldehyde Overview

Hi Tina and David,

As requested, we are attaching the Formaldehyde Overview Document with the revisions to the cancer dose-response section that were discussed (i.e., IUR based on NPC with discussions on ML). Also we edited Table 2, the summary of the conclusions and unit risk estimates for cancer, to include footnotes that describe the context for the confidence conclusions for the cancer types with high confidence.

Previously you had indicated that you wanted to send this to Bob and Bruce.

BTW, we are still on track for finishing the main document by Nov. 3rd unless other changes need to be made.

Regards, Barbara and Andrew